



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.														
10/790,374	03/01/2004	Thomas J. Fogarty	2484 CON 10	9359														
7590 Mark Farber, Esq. U.S. Surgical a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group, LP 150 Glover Avenue Norwalk, CT 06856		05/16/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">TRUONG, KEVIN THAO</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>3734</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"><table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>05/16/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table></td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3734		<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>05/16/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	05/16/2007	PAPER
EXAMINER																		
TRUONG, KEVIN THAO																		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER																	
3734																		
<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>05/16/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	05/16/2007	PAPER													
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE																	
05/16/2007	PAPER																	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/790,374

Applicant(s)

FOGARTY ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin T. Truong

Art Unit

3734

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE and Amendt. 04/.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/19/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin (U.S. 5,591,183) in view of La Rosa (U.S. 5,480,379).

Note in figures 7 and 8, Chin teaches the method steps as claimed by providing a long slender rod (700) with a handle (704); wherein the slender rod (700) including first and second segments, at least one of the segments being substantially coaxial with the handle (704); wherein the rod (700) including a first **sideways** hook (708) and second **sideways** hook (710) extending from the rod (700) in an opposing direction from the first **sideways** hook (708); manipulating the rod (700) so as to slide the **sideways** hooks (708,710) around the artery

(502); pushing and/or pulling the rod (700) to slide the **sideways** hooks (708,710) along the artery until the artery is separated from the surrounding tissue (see figures 8A-8C and col. 8, lines 1-28); and furthermore, wherein the **sideways** hook (710) defines an arc which is inherently capable of being within about 180 to about 270 degree. Chin does not disclose the obtuse angle between the first segment and second segment of the rod, as recited in the claims.

However, La Rosa teaches in figure 3, that it is known in the surgical art to have a long slender rod (3) having first segment and second segment defining an obtuse angle (at 5) therebetween.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Chin's first segment defines an obtuse angle relative to the second segment as taught by La Rosa to ensures improved slidability of the rod in the intermediate space between detached media and adventitia and to allow unobstructed visualization of the hook when operating on harvesting the artery.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 04/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 3734

4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Kevin T. Truong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3734

ktt